EnviroLogic Resources, Inc.

Consulting Environmental & Water Resources Scientists

March 17, 2004 10077.005

Oregon Department of Environmental Quality Northwest Region 2020 SW Fourth Avenue Suite 400 Portland, Oregon 97201-4987

VIA Email/First Class

Attention: Anna Coates

Subject: Technical Memorandum Storm Water Sampling – Fourth Quarter 2003 Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study/Interim Removal Action Measures Astoria Area-Wide Petroleum Site Astoria, Oregon DEQ ECSI File #2277

Dear Ms. Coates:

This technical memorandum presents the results of storm water sampling performed at the Astoria Area-Wide Petroleum Site in Astoria, Oregon, during the fourth quarter of 2003. A remedial investigation/feasibility study (RI/FS) is being performed pursuant to a Unilateral Order issued in December 2001 by the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) (No. ECSR-NWR-01-11) to eight entities. The Order requires these current and former facility owners, and operators involved in industrial and commercial activities to investigate and potentially cleanup properties within the Astoria Area-Wide site. ChevronTexaco Products Company (ChevronTexaco), Delphia Oil Company (Delphia), McCall Oil and Chemical Company (McCall), Ed Niemi Oil Company (Niemi Oil), Flying Dutchman and Harris Enterprises (Harris/Van West), Port of Astoria (the Port), Qwest Communications International (Qwest), and Shell Oil Company (Shell) are identified in the Order, collectively, as potentially responsible parties (PRPs), and have agreed to comply with its requirements. In addition, ExxonMobil Corporation is part of the PRP Group.

In fall 2002, Phase 1 field activities were conducted at the site in accordance with the RI/FS Work Plan (*EnviroLogic Resources*, 2002b). As part of the Phase 1 field activities a geophysical survey was completed on portions of the site, one goal of which was to map the storm water piping system. This piping system is shown on Figure 2 in the Work Plan, Storm Water Monitoring (*EnviroLogic Resources*, 2003). *EnviroLogic Resources* has reviewed the results of the geophysical mapping along with additional information obtained during the Phase 1 field activities and compiled a storm water catchment map, presented on Figure 3 of the Work Plan, Storm Water Monitoring (*EnviroLogic Resources*, 2003).

Based on the areas drained, the areas of focus for the RI/FS, off-site contribution to drainage, and outfall accessibility, Outfall #2 in Catchment Area 2 and Outfall #6 in Catchment Area 4

Ms. Anna Coates March 17, 2004 Page 2

have been selected for monitoring (Figure 2). Outfall #2 was selected because it drains the north-central portion of the Astoria Area-Wide site. Outfall #6 was selected because it drains the central portion of the Astoria Area-Wide site. The remaining catchments do not represent areas of investigative interest or are serviced by a combined sanitary and storm sewer system.

STORM WATER SAMPLING METHODS AND PROCEDURES

On November 25, 2003, the Fourth Quarter 2003 (2nd Round) of quarterly storm water sampling was conducted. Storm water samples were collected from the Outfall #2 sampling location and Outfall #6. As shown on Figure 2, the sampling location for Outfall #2 is the first catch basin upstream of the Outfall. The actual outfall cannot be sampled due to the inability to locate the exact discharge point. A dye test performed in January 2003 indicated the approximate location of the discharge area within the riprap on the bank of Slip 2.

Storm water from the sampling point for Outfall #2 was collected by lowering a disposable plastic beaker into the catch basin and collecting the water directly from the inlet pipe. A separate disposable plastic beaker was lowered to Outfall #6 for the storm water sample collection. The water was collected directly at the discharge point. From the beaker the water was transferred into the appropriate bottles and stored in a cooler with ice until the samples were delivered to the laboratory.

The storm water samples were analyzed for RBDM volatile organic compounds (VOCs), RBDM polyaromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs/SVOCs), total copper, total lead, total zinc, pH, total suspended solids, and oil and grease. Laboratory analytical work was performed by North Creek Analytical, Inc. (NCA), of Beaverton, Oregon. The absence of oil and grease or floating solids in the storm water was also noted during the sampling event on the Storm Water Monitoring Form, which is attached as Appendix A.

SUMMARY OF STORM WATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS

The laboratory analytical reports are included in Appendix B attached to this technical memorandum. Tables 1, 2, 3, and 4 summarize the laboratory analytical results. The results of the 3rd Quarter 2003 sampling event are also included on the tables. A validation of the data has been performed and the data are considered of an acceptable quality. The data validation report for this sampling event is included in Appendix A.

As shown on Tables 1 and 2, there were no VOCs or SVOCs detected in the storm water sample. Table 3 presents the metals in storm water. Lead and zinc were detected in samples from both outfalls. Copper was detected in the sample from Outfall #6. Outfall #6 contained the higher levels of metals with 6.87 μ g/L of copper, 3.4 μ g/L of lead, and 139 μ g/L of zinc. Table 4

Ms. Anna Coates March 17, 2004 Page 3

presents the results of additional chemical analyses performed on the storm water samples. Oil and grease was not detected by NCA in the storm water samples. There were 51.0 mg/L of total suspended solids (TSS) in Outfall #6. Outfall #2 only contained 3.00 mg/L of TSS.

CLOSING COMMENTS

There does not seem to have been an impact from the petroleum contamination at the Astoria Area-Wide site on the storm water discharging from Outfall #2 or Outfall #6. The outfalls will be sampled again in the First Quarter 2004. Please call me at (503)768-5121 if you have any questions or comments regarding this technical memorandum.

Sincerely, *EnviroLogic Resources, Inc.*

Thomas J. Calabrese, RG, CWRE Principal/Hydrogeologist Project Manager

| Attachments: | Table 1 | VOCs in Storm Water |
|--------------|------------|---|
| | Table 2 | SVOCs in Storm Water |
| | Table 3 | Metals in Storm Water |
| | Table 4 | Additional Analytes in Storm Water |
| | Figure 1 | Site Plan |
| | Figure 2 | Storm Water Sampling Locations |
| | Appendix A | Storm Water Monitoring Forms |
| | Appendix B | Analytical Results and Data Validation Report |

cc: Distribution list attached

Ms. Anna Coates March 17, 2004 Page 4

ASTORIA AREA-WIDE PETROLEUM SITE Distribution List

- 1 Anna Coates, DEQ Project Manager, Site Response
- 1 Mike Lilly, Attorney for Port of Astoria
- 1 Peter Gearin, Port of Astoria
- 1 Tom Calabrese, EnviroLogic Resources, Inc., Consultant for PoA and AAW PRP Group
- 1 Max Miller, Tonkon Torp, Attorney for McCall Oil and Chemical Corporation
- 1 Ted McCall, McCall Oil and Chemical Corporation
- 1 John Edwards, Anchor Environmental, LLC, Consultant for McCall Oil and Chemical Corp
- 1 Cary E. Bechtolt, Niemi Oil Company
- 1 Allan B. Bakalian, Marten Law Group, PLLC, Attorney for Niemi Oil Company
- 1 Kurt Harrington, AMEC, Inc., Consultant for Niemi Oil Company
- 1 Ed Platt, Shell Oil Company
- 1 Rick Glick, Davis Wright Tremaine, Attorney for Shell Oil Company
- 1 Leon Lahiere, Hart Crowser, Consultant for Shell Oil Company
- 1 Brian Harris, Harris Enterprises
- 1 Larry Vandermay, Flying Dutchman
- 1 David Bartz & Neal Hueske, Schwabe Williamson & Wyatt, Attorney for Flying Dutchman
- 1 Jerry Hodson, Miller Nash, Attorney for Harris Enterprises
- 1 Lon Yandell, Kleinfelder, Consultant for Harris Enterprises
- 1 Richard Delphia, Delphia Oil Company
- 1 Chuck Smith, Attorney for Delphia Oil Company
- 1 Alistaire Clary, Maul Foster Alongi, Consultant for Delphia Oil Company
- 1 Cheryl Morrison, ChevronTexaco Products Company
- 1 Charles Lambert, Attorney for ChevronTexaco Products Company
- 1 Gerry Koschal, SAIC, Consultant for ChevronTexaco Products Company
- 1 Brian Jacobson, Qwest Communications International, Inc.
- 1 David Bledsoe, Perkins Coie LLP, Attorney for Qwest Communications International, Inc.
- 1 Donna LaCombe, Tetra Tech EM, Inc., Consultant for Qwest Communications International
- 1 Anita W. Lovely, for Exxon Mobil Corporation

TABLES

TABLE 1 VOCs IN STORM WATER

Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study

Astoria Area-Wide Petroleum Site

Astoria, Oregon

| | | | 1 2 4 -Trimethyl | 1 2-Dibromo | 1 2-Dichloro | 1,3,5- Trimethyl | |
|------------|------------|-------------|-------------------------|-------------|----------------|---------------------|---------|
| Locator ID | Sample ID | Sample Date | benzene | ethane | ethane | benzene | Benzene |
| | · · | | ug/L | ug/L | ug/L | ug/L | ug/L |
| Outfall#2 | Outfall #2 | 9/9/2003 | 1 U | 0.5 U | 0.5 U | 0.5 U | 0.5 U |
| Outfall#2 | Outfall #2 | 11/25/2003 | 1 U | 0.5 U | 0.5 U | 0.5 U | 0.5 U |
| Outfall #6 | Outfall #6 | 11/25/2003 | 1 U | 0.5 U | 0.5 U | 0.5 U | 0.5 U |
| | | | | Isonronyl | Methyl_t_hutyl | n-Pronyl | |
| Locator ID | Sample ID | Sample Date | Ethylbenzene | benzene | ether | benzene | Toluene |
| | | | ug/L | ug/L | ug/L | ug/L | ug/L |
| Outfall#2 | Outfall #2 | 9/9/2003 | 0.5 U | 2 U | 2 U | 0.5 U | 0.5 U |
| Outfall#2 | Outfall #2 | 11/25/2003 | 0.5 U | 2 U | 2 U | 0.5 U | 0.5 U |
| Outfall #6 | Outfall #6 | 11/25/2003 | 0.5 U | 2 U | 2 U | 0.5 U | 0.5 U |
| | | | | | | | |
| Locator ID | Sample ID | Sample Date | Xylenes | | | | |
| | | | ug/L | | | | |
| Outfall#2 | Outfall #2 | 9/9/2003 | 1 U | | | | |
| Outfall#2 | Outfall #2 | 11/25/2003 | 1 U | | | | |
| Outfall #6 | Outfall #6 | 11/25/2003 | 1 U | | | | |

Notes:

ug/L Micrograms per liter

na not analyzed

U The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit.

J The analyte was positively identified; the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.

TABLE 2SVOCs IN STORM WATER

Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Astoria Area-Wide Petroleum Site Astoria, Oregon

| | | | | | | Benzo(a) | |
|------------|------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|---------------|-------------|-----------------|
| Locator ID | Sample ID | Sample Date | Acenaphthene | Acenaphthylene | Anthracene | anthracene | Benzo(a) pyrene |
| | | | ug/L | ug/L | ug/L | ug/L | ug/L |
| Outfall#2 | Outfall #2 | 9/9/2003 | 0.1 U | 0.1 U | 0.1 U | 0.1 U | 0.1 U |
| Outfall#2 | Outfall #2 | 11/25/2003 | 0.05 U | 0.05 U | 0.05 U | 0.01 U | 0.01 U |
| Outfall #6 | Outfall #6 | 11/25/2003 | 0.05 U | 0.05 U | 0.05 U | 0.01 U | 0.01 U |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | Benzo(b) | Benzo(g,h,i) | Benzo(k) | | Dibenzo(a,h) |
| Locator ID | Sample ID | Sample Date | fluoranthene | perylene | fluoranthene | Chrysene | anthracene |
| | | | ug/L | ug/L | ug/L | ug/L | ug/L |
| Outfall#2 | Outfall #2 | 9/9/2003 | 0.1 U | 0.1 U | 0.1 U | 0.1 U | 0.2 U |
| Outfall#2 | Outfall #2 | 11/25/2003 | 0.01 U | 0.05 U | 0.01 U | 0.01 U | 0.01 U |
| Outfall #6 | Outfall #6 | 11/25/2003 | 0.01 U | 0.05 U | 0.01 U | 0.01 U | 0.01 U |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Indeno(1,2,3- | | |
| Locator ID | Sample ID | Sample Date | Fluoranthene | Fluorene | cd) pyrene | Naphthalene | Phenanthrene |
| | | | ug/L | ug/L | ug/L | ug/L | ug/L |
| Outfall#2 | Outfall #2 | 9/9/2003 | 0.1 U | 0.1 U | 0.1 U | 2 U | 0.1 U |
| Outfall#2 | Outfall #2 | 9/9/2003 | | | | 0.1 U | |
| Outfall#2 | Outfall #2 | 11/25/2003 | 0.05 U | 0.05 U | 0.01 U | 0.05 U | 0.05 U |
| Outfall#2 | Outfall #2 | 11/25/2003 | | | | 2 U | |
| Outfall #6 | Outfall #6 | 11/25/2003 | 0.05 U | 0.05 U | 0.01 U | 2.11 | 0.05 U |
| Outfall #6 | Outfall #6 | 11/25/2003 | 0.00 0 | 0.00 0 | 0.01 0 | 0.05 U | 0.00 0 |

TABLE 2SVOCs IN STORM WATER

Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Astoria Area-Wide Petroleum Site Astoria, Oregon

| Locator ID | Sample ID | Sample Date | Pyrene | Notes: |
|------------|------------|-------------|--------|--|
| | | | ug/L | ug/L Micrograms per liter |
| Outfall#2 | Outfall #2 | 9/9/2003 | 0.1 U | U The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit. |
| Outfall#2 | Outfall #2 | 11/25/2003 | 0.05 U | |
| | | | | J The analyte was positively identified: the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the |
| Outfall #6 | Outfall #6 | 11/25/2003 | 0.05 U | sample. |

TABLE 3METALS IN STORM WATER

Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Astoria Area-Wide Site Astoria, Oregon

| Locator ID | Sample ID | Sample Date | Copper | Lead | Zinc |
|------------|------------|-------------|--------|--------|--------|
| | | | ug/L | ug/L | ug/L |
| Outfall#2 | Outfall #2 | 9/9/2003 | 3.2 J | 5.1 J | 16.6 J |
| Outfall#2 | Outfall #2 | 11/25/2003 | 2 U B | 0.72 J | 19 |
| Outfall #6 | Outfall #6 | 11/25/2003 | 6.87 | 3.4 | 139 |

Notes:

ug/L Micrograms per liter

na not analyzed

U The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit.

J The analyte was positively identified; the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.

TABLE 4ADDITIONAL ANALYSES IN STORM WATER

Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Astoria Area-Wide Petroleum Site Astoria, Oregon

| | | | | Oil & | Oil & | | Total |
|------------|------------|-------------|---------|---------|------------|----------|-----------|
| | | | Oil and | Grease | Grease | | Suspended |
| Locator ID | Sample ID | Sample Date | Grease | (polar) | (nonpolar) | рН | Solids |
| | | | mg/L | ug/L | ug/L | pH Units | mg/L |
| Outfall#2 | Outfall #2 | 9/9/2003 | 950 U | 950 U | 950 U | 6.11 | 2,000 J |
| Outfall#2 | Outfall #2 | 11/25/2003 | 5 U | na | na | 6.18 J | 3 J |
| | | | | | | | |
| Outfall #6 | Outfall #6 | 11/25/2003 | 5.00 U | na | na | 6.74 J | 51.0 |

Notes:

ug/L Micrograms per liter

mg/L Milligrams per liter

na not analyzed

U The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit.

J The analyte was positively identified; the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.

FIGURES



FIGURE 1

SITE LOCATION

Remedial Investigation/Feasibilty Study Astoria Area-Wide Petroleum Site Astoria, Oregon

EnviroLogic Resources, Inc.

Consulting Environmental & Water Resources Scientists



100 0 200 SCALE IN FEET

STORMWATER SAMPLING

Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Astoria Area-Wide Petrleum Site Astoria. Oregon

APPENDIX A

STORM WATER MONITORING FORMS

ASTORIA AREA-WIDE

STORM WATER SAMPLE FORM

OUTFALL #2

Instructions: Make visual observations at outfalls. Monitor temperature and pH of storm water. Collect water samples into the appropriate bottles in the order specified. No headspace shall be left in the bottle. Be sure not to spill preservatives or create overflow in bottles with preservatives. Place bottles into cooler with blue ice for laboratory. Label all bottles. Fill out chain of custody form.

| Date: November 25, 2003 | |
|--|--|
| Time Sample Collected: 900 | |
| Sample Collection Method: Disposable plas | tic beaker |
| Weather: Overcast; Showers, few sun breaks | Water Flow: Strong <u>Medium</u> Light |
| Color: Mostly clear | Odor: None |
| Temperature: 8.9 deg C | Other: Conductivity = $61.8 \ \mu S$ |
| Analyses Requested: | ORP = 131 mV $pH = 6.90$ |
| RBDM VOCs 8260B | - |
| RBDM PAHs | |
| Total Copper (500 mL plastic bottle) | |
| Total Lead (500 mL plastic bottle) | |
| Total Zinc (500 mL plastic bottle) | |
| PH (500 mL plastic bottle) | |
| Total Suspended Solids (TSS) (1,000 mL plastic bottle) | |
| Oil & Grease (1000 mL amber glass bottles) | |
| | |

Label shall include: sample number, date, time, sampler, and preservatives.

No sheen was observed.

ASTORIA AREA-WIDE

STORM WATER SAMPLE FORM

OUTFALL #6

Instructions: Make visual observations at outfalls. Monitor temperature and pH of storm water. Collect water samples into the appropriate bottles in the order specified. No headspace shall be left in the bottle. Be sure not to spill preservatives or create overflow in bottles with preservatives. Place bottles into cooler with blue ice for laboratory. Label all bottles. Fill out chain of custody form.

| Date: November 25, 2003 | |
|---|--|
| Time Sample Collected: 815 | |
| Sample Collection Method: Disposable plas | tic beaker |
| Weather: Overcast; Showers | Water Flow: Strong <u>Medium</u> Light |
| Color: Transparent, slightly light brown | Odor: None |
| Temperature: 7.5 deg C | Other: Conductivity = $132 \mu S$ |
| Analyses Requested: RBDM VOCs 8260B RBDM PAHs Total Copper (500 mL plastic bottle) Total Lead (500 mL plastic bottle) Total Zinc (500 mL plastic bottle) PH (500 mL plastic bottle) Total Suspended Solids (TSS) (1,000 mL plastic bottle) Oil & Grease (1000 mL amber glass bottles) | ORP = 94 mV pH = 7.36 |
| | |

Label shall include: sample number, date, time, sampler, and preservatives.

Seep visible in Slip 2 Outfall #13a = light water flow Outfall #13b = No water Outfall #14 = Light water flow Outfall #15 = Water (Hamburg Road discharge) City Outfall = High tide, therefore below water, no sheen Slip 1 = High Tide, therefore below water, no sheen Manhole behind Portway tavern = no apparent odor

APPENDIX B

ANALYTICAL RESULTS AND DATA VALIDATION REPORT

Consulting Environmental & Water Resources Scientists

QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL REVIEW STORM WATER – 4th QUARTER 2003

Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Astoria Area-Wide Petroleum Site Astoria, Oregon

This report presents the results of our review of the laboratory analytical report and the data validation conducted based on the laboratory report referenced below. The samples collected were the fourth quarter 2003 storm water samples for the Astoria Area-Wide Petroleum Site. The samples were collected on November 25, 2003. Sample handling, analysis and quality control (QC) procedures were established in the July 15, 2002, Remedial Investigation /Feasibility Study, Astoria Area-Wide Petroleum Site, Astoria, Oregon, Phase 1 Work Plan (Phase 1 RI/FS) and in the March 26, 2003, Work Plan, Storm Water Monitoring, Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study, Astoria Area-Wide Petroleum Site, Astoria. *EnviroLogic Resources, Inc.*, prepared both documents. The samples were submitted to North Creek Analytical (NCA) of Portland, Oregon for analysis.

A total of 4 samples were submitted for analysis. Basic information about the lab order associated with this sample is presented below:

| Lab Order | No. of | Matrix | Date(s) | Field |
|-----------|---------|--------|-----------|-----------------|
| | Samples | | Collected | Locations |
| P3K0784 | 2 | Water | 11/25/03 | Outfall #2 & #6 |
| | 2 | Water | 11/25/03 | Trip Blanks |

As stated in Appendix B, Sections 8.0, 9.0 and 10.0 of the Phase I RI/FS our goal is to review the laboratory report and chain of custody for Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) parameters and statistical parameters. The findings of our review are presented in the following pages. Qualified data is summarized in the attached table. Analyses performed are listed below.

| Analysis | Reference |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Total Suspended Solids | EPA Method 160.2 |
| Fats, Oil & Grease | EPA Method 1664 |
| Total Metals – (Copper, Lead, Zinc) | EPA Method 200 Series |
| Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) | EPA Method 8260B |
| Polynuclear Aromatic Compounds (PAHs) | EPA Method 8270M-SIM |
| pH | EPA Method 150.1/9040A |

EPA = U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

CHAIN OF CUSTODY REVIEW

Chain of custodies (COC) were reviewed to determine sample condition upon arrival at the lab, that analysis requested was in accordance with the RI/FS Work Plan as updated by the Storm Water Work Plan, and that analyses requested were performed.

- One special condition was noted on the COC. One metals sample jar had no identification on it. All the other sample jars were labeled and there was no metals jar for Outfall #2 so it was assumed the missing sample identification was for Outfall #2. No sample containers were broken or otherwise in any adverse condition upon arrival at the laboratory.
- Both cooler (2) temperatures were recorded as 5.8°C for P3K0784 when it was received at the laboratory.
- Analyses requested on the COC accurately reflect the analysis presented in the laboratory reports.

QUALITY CONTROL/QUALITY ASSURANCE REVIEW

DATA QUALIFIERS

The following data qualifier was used in this data validation report:

- **B.** The analyte was also identified in a field or laboratory blank associated with this sample or sample group.
- ➤ U. The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit.
- ➤ J. The analyte was positively identified; the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
- ➤ J+. The analyte was positively identified; the associated numerical value is the estimated high of the analyte in the sample

The laboratory in their laboratory report used this and other data qualifiers. Those data qualifiers are defined in the laboratory report.

HOLDING TIMES

We reviewed the laboratory reports and compared sample dates, prepared dates and analyzed dates for all the analyses. The laboratory provided us with holding times for each analytical method for soil and water samples. Based on this review pH was analyzed outside the EPA recommended holding time. The pH is qualified with a J flag

FIELD BLANKS

Equipment Blanks and Trip Blanks

No equipment blank was utilized. A trip blank was utilized for each cooler. The recommended frequency presented in the Phase 1 RI/FS work plan was one equipment blank for every 20 samples delivered to the laboratory and one trip blank for every cooler delivered to the laboratory. No analytes were detected in the trip blanks.

LABORATORY METHOD BLANKS

Laboratory method blanks were analyzed at the required frequency for all analyses in the lab order. Laboratory blanks were performed on sample batches so each blank is associated with a batch of field samples. The batch sample associated with each field sample is identified in the laboratory report. One analyte was detected in the laboratory blank for metals analyses.

Copper was detected in the metals laboratory blank at a concentration of 0.000300 mg/l (milligrams per liter). Field samples in the same batch that had copper detected within 10 times the blank concentration are qualified. If the sample concentration was between the MDL (Method Detection Limit) and the RL (Reporting Limit) then the result was qualified by placing a UB flag next to the RL. If the sample concentration was above the RL then the detected concentration was qualified with a J+ and B flag. Data is not qualified where field samples had analytes detected at concentrations greater than 10 times the corresponding blank concentration. Laboratory dilution of field samples was considered.

SURROGATE RECOVERIES

Field Sample

One or more surrogates were utilized for each analysis except for total metals, total suspended solids, pH, and fats, oil & grease analysis. We reviewed all of the surrogate recoveries relative to the specified control limits. No surrogate recoveries were outside control limits.

SPIKE AND SURROGATE RECOVERIES

Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory Control Samples (LCS) were conducted at the required frequency. Based on our review all LCS quality control information was acceptable. No data was qualified.

Matrix Spike Samples Matrix Spike (MS) were conducted at the required frequency. Based on our review all spike compounds and/or surrogates met quality control limits.

LABORATORY AND FIELD DUPLICATES/RELATIVE PERCENT DIFFERENCE

MSD, Laboratory Control Sample Duplicates (LCSDs), laboratory duplicates and field duplicate analytical information was reviewed. Based on our review all duplicate sample relative percent differences (RPDs) were within acceptable limits for laboratory duplicates. No field duplicate was obtained. No surrogate recovery exceptions for duplicate samples were noted.

STATISTICAL EVALUATION

Precision

Precision is a measure of the ability to reproduce data and is evaluated using duplicate samples. This includes field duplicates, laboratory duplicates, MSDs and LCSDs. Relative percent difference (RPD) is used to measure the reproducibility as described in section 10.1 of Appendix B of the RI/FS Work Plan. The RPD control limits are listed in the laboratory reports. These control limits may be slightly different than those presented in the Work Plan, but they are still acceptable. Overall precision for the analysis was acceptable

RPDs outside the control limits would represent statistical exceptions and indicate a lack of ability to reproduce the data. LCSD evaluate the affect laboratory conditions have on precision; no RPD exceptions were noted in LCSDs. Field duplicates, MSDs and lab duplicates evaluate the effect field and laboratory conditions have on precision. No RPD exceptions were noted in MSDs. No field duplicates were obtained so no evaluation of the reproducibility of the field data can be made. Overall the precision of the laboratory data is acceptable and no data is qualified due to lack of precision.

Accuracy

Accuracy measures the bias in a system and is evaluated using percent recovery of surrogate, spikes and LCS. LCS evaluates bias due to laboratory conditions. Bias due to field and laboratory conditions is evaluated using surrogates and matrix spikes. All surrogate and spike recoveries meet control limits. Overall the accuracy of the laboratory data is acceptable and no data is qualified due to a lack of accuracy

Representatives

Equipment blanks, laboratory blanks and field duplicate samples evaluate how representative analytical results are of actual site conditions. Blanks evaluate the introduction of "analytes" from outside sources such as field equipment, transportation equipment and the laboratory environment. Duplicate field samples attempt to evaluate how representative a sample is of site conditions by seeing if two samples are statistically representative of each other.

Equipment blanks and field duplicates were not utilized with sample delivery group. Trip blanks were utilized and no problems were noted with the trip blanks. One analyte was detected in the laboratory blank resulting in one data point being qualified. No data was rejected due to lack of representativeness.

Completeness

Completeness evaluates how successful the data set is at being valid. No data was rejected so the data group was 100 percent complete with respect to rejected analysis. One analysis was qualified with a J flag due to an exceeded holding time.

QUALIFIED DATA

The following data were qualified as a result of the data validation:

| Laboratory Identification | Sample Name | Analyte | Original Result | Qualified Result | Method Detection Limit | Reporting Limit | Units |
|------------------------------|-------------|--------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|----------|
| | | | | | | | |
| P3K0784-01 | Outfall #2 | Total Copper | 0.00170 J | 0.00200 U B | 0.000259 | 0.00200 | mg/l |
| | | рН | 6.18 | 6.18 J | NA | NA | ph Units |
| P3K0784-02 | Outfall #6 | рН | 6.74 | 6.74 J | NA | NA | ph Units |

Notes: NA= Not applicable Data Qualifiers U, B and J are defined in the text.

REFERENCES

- USEPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). 2002. USEPA Contract Laboratory Program, National Functional Guidelines For Inorganics Data Review. Office of Emergency and Remedial Response, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. EPA 540/R-01/008.
- USEPA (United States Environmental Protection Agency). 2003. Inorganic National Functional Guidelines Proposed Changes. <u>http://www.epa.gov/superfundprograms/</u> clp/inorgfgchanges. htm
- USEPA (United States Environmental Protection Agency). 1999. USEPA Contract Laboratory Program, National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review. Office of Emergency and Remedial Response, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. EPA 540/R-99/008.
- *EnviroLogic Resources, Inc.* RI/FS and IRAM Development Work Plan, Phase I, Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study, Astoria Area-Wide Petroleum Site, Astoria, Oregon, July 15, 2002.
- *EnviroLogic Resources, Inc.* Work Plan, Storm Water Monitoring, Remedial Investigation/ Feasibility Study, Astoria Area-Wide Petroleum Site, Astoria, Oregon, March 26, 2003



| Seattle | 11720 North Creek Pkwy N, Suite 400, Bothell, WA 98011-8244 |
|-----------|---|
| | 425.420.9200 fax 425.420.9210 |
| Spokane | East 11115 Montgomery, Suite B, Spokane, WA 99206-4776 |
| | 509.924.9200 fax 509.924.9290 |
| Portland | 9405 SW Nimbus Avenue, Beaverton, OR 97008-7132 |
| | 503.906.9200 fax 503.906.9210 |
| Bend | 20332 Empire Avenue, Suite F-1, Bend, OR 97701-5711 |
| | 541.383.9310 fax 541.382.7588 |
| Anchorage | 3209 Denali Street, Anchorage, AK 99503 |
| | 007 331 0000 fav 007 331 0010 |
| | |

12 December 2003

Tom Calabrese EnviroLogic Resources, Inc. P.O. Box 80762 Portland, OR 97280-0762 RE: Astoria Area-Wide Petroleum Site RI-1

Enclosed are the results of analyses for samples received by the laboratory on 11/26/03 08:47. If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Mary a. For Singe

Mary A. Fritzmann Smith For Joy D. Chang Project Manager



 Seattle
 11720 North Creek Pkwy N, Suite 400, Bothell, WA 98011-8244 425.420.9200 fax 425.420.9210

 Spokane
 East 11115 Montgomery, Suite B, Spokane, WA 99206-4776 509.924.9200 fax 509.924.9290

 Portland
 9405 SW Nimbus Avenue, Beaverton, OR 97008-7132 503.906.9200 fax 503.906.9210

 Bend
 20332 Empire Avenue, Suite F-1, Bend, OR 97701-5711 541.383.9310 fax 541.382.7588

 Anchorage
 3209 Denail Street, Anchorage, AK 99503 907 334 9200 fax 907 334 9210

EnviroLogic Resources, Inc. P.O. Box 80762 Portland OR, 97280-0762 Project: Astoria Area-Wide Petroleum Site RI-1 Project Number: 10077.005 Project Manager: Tom Calabrese

Reported: 12/12/03 15:46

ANALYTICAL REPORT FOR SAMPLES

| Sample ID | Laboratory ID | Matrix | Date Sampled | Date Received |
|------------|---------------|--------|----------------|----------------|
| Outfall #2 | P3K0784-01 | Water | 11/25/03 09:00 | 11/26/03 08:47 |
| Outfall #6 | P3K0784-02 | Water | 11/25/03 08:15 | 11/26/03 08:47 |
| Trip Blank | P3K0784-03 | Water | 11/25/03 09:00 | 11/26/03 08:47 |
| Trip Blank | P3K0784-04 | Water | 11/25/03 08:15 | 11/26/03 08:47 |

North Creek Analytical - Portland

Mary a. F.J. Sing



| EnviroLogic Resources, Inc. |
|-----------------------------|
| P.O. Box 80762 |
| Portland OR, 97280-0762 |

Project: Astoria Area-Wide Petroleum Site RI-1 Project Number: 10077.005 Project Manager: Tom Calabrese

Reported: 12/12/03 15:46

Oil and Grease Analysis per EPA Method 1664 North Creek Analytical - Portland

| Analyte | Result | MDL | Reporting Limit | Units | Dilution | Batch | Prepared | Analyzed | Method | Notes |
|-------------------------------|---------------|------------|--------------------|-----------|----------|---------|----------|----------|----------|---------|
| Outfall #2 (P3K0784-01) Water | Sampled: 11/2 | 5/03 09:00 | Received | : 11/26/0 | 3 08:47 | | | | | |
| Oil & Grease | ND | 3.24 | 5.00 | mg/l | 1 | 3120115 | 12/03/03 | 12/03/03 | EPA 1664 | F-02, U |
| Outfall #6 (P3K0784-02) Water | Sampled: 11/2 | 5/03 08:15 | Received | : 11/26/0 | 3 08:47 | | | | | |
| Oil & Grease | ND | 3.24 | 5.00 | mg/l | 1 | 3120115 | 12/03/03 | 12/03/03 | EPA 1664 | F-02, U |

North Creek Analytical - Portland

Mary a. For Sing



Project: Astoria Area-Wide Petroleum Site RI-1 Project Number: 10077.005 Project Manager: Tom Calabrese

Reported: 12/12/03 15:46

Total Metals per EPA 200 Series Methods North Creek Analytical - Portland

| Analyte | Result | MDL | Reporting Limit | Units | Dilution | Batch | Prepared | Analyzed | Method | Notes |
|-------------------------------|------------|---------------|--------------------|---------|----------|---------|----------|----------|-----------|-------|
| Outfall #2 (P3K0784-01) Water | Sampled: 1 | 1/25/03 09:00 | Received: | 11/26/0 | 3 08:47 | | | | | |
| Copper | 0.00170 | 0.000259 | 0.00200 | mg/l | 1 | 3120352 | 12/09/03 | 12/10/03 | EPA 200.8 | J |
| Lead | 0.000720 | 0.0000870 | 0.00100 | | " | " | " | " | " | J |
| Zinc | 0.0190 | 0.00102 | 0.00500 | " | " | " | " | " | " | |
| Outfall #6 (P3K0784-02) Water | Sampled: 1 | 1/25/03 08:15 | Received: | 11/26/0 | 3 08:47 | | | | | |
| Copper | 0.00687 | 0.000259 | 0.00200 | mg/l | 1 | 3120352 | 12/09/03 | 12/10/03 | EPA 200.8 | |
| Lead | 0.00340 | 0.0000870 | 0.00100 | " | " | " | " | " | " | |
| Zinc | 0.139 | 0.00102 | 0.00500 | " | " | " | " | " | " | |

North Creek Analytical - Portland

Mary a. Fry Sing



Project: Astoria Area-Wide Petroleum Site RI-1 Project Number: 10077.005 Project Manager: Tom Calabrese

Reported: 12/12/03 15:46

Selected Volatile Organic Compounds per EPA Method 8260B North Creek Analytical - Portland

| Analyte | Result | MDL | Reporting Limit | Units | Dilution | Batch | Prepared | Analyzed | Method | Notes |
|---------------------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------------|------------|----------|---------|----------|----------|-----------|-------|
| Outfall #2 (P3K0784-01) Water | Sampled: 11/ | 25/03 09:00 | Received | : 11/26/03 | 3 08:47 | | | | | |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane | ND | 0.187 | 0.500 | ug/l | 1 | 3120073 | 12/02/03 | 12/02/03 | EPA 8260B | U |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | ND | 0.142 | 0.500 | | | | " | " | | U |
| Benzene | ND | 0.147 | 0.500 | " | " | | " | " | | U |
| Toluene | ND | 0.155 | 0.500 | | " | " | " | " | " | U |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.110 | 0.500 | | " | " | " | " | " | U |
| Xylenes (total) | ND | 0.262 | 1.00 | | | | " | " | | U |
| Methyl tert-butyl ether | ND | 0.0865 | 2.00 | | | | " | " | | U |
| Naphthalene | ND | 0.0989 | 2.00 | | | | " | " | | U |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | ND | 0.0884 | 1.00 | | | | " | " | | U |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | ND | 0.157 | 0.500 | " | " | | " | " | " | U |
| Isopropylbenzene | ND | 0.107 | 2.00 | " | " | | | " | " | U |
| n-Propylbenzene | ND | 0.138 | 0.500 | | " | | | | " | U |
| Surrogate: 4-BFB | 83.5 % | | 75-12 | 20 | | " | " | " | " | |
| Surrogate: 1,2-DCA-d4 | 102 % | | 77-12 | 29 | | " | " | " | " | |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 106 % | | 80-12 | 21 | | " | " | " | " | |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 101 % | | 80-12 | 20 | | " | " | " | " | |
| Outfall #6 (P3K0784-02) Water | Sampled: 11/ | 25/03 08:15 | Received | : 11/26/0 | 3 08:47 | | | | | |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane | ND | 0.187 | 0.500 | ug/l | 1 | 3120073 | 12/02/03 | 12/02/03 | EPA 8260B | U |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | ND | 0.142 | 0.500 | | | | " | " | | U |
| Benzene | ND | 0.147 | 0.500 | " | " | | " | " | " | U |
| Toluene | ND | 0.155 | 0.500 | | | | " | " | | U |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.110 | 0.500 | | | | " | " | | U |
| Xylenes (total) | ND | 0.262 | 1.00 | " | " | | " | " | " | U |
| Methyl tert-butyl ether | ND | 0.0865 | 2.00 | " | " | | | " | " | U |
| Naphthalene | ND | 0.0989 | 2.00 | " | " | | " | " | " | U |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | ND | 0.0884 | 1.00 | " | " | | | " | " | U |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | ND | 0.157 | 0.500 | " | " | | | " | " | U |
| Isopropylbenzene | ND | 0.107 | 2.00 | " | " | | | " | " | U |
| n-Propylbenzene | ND | 0.138 | 0.500 | | | | | | | U |
| Surrogate: 4-BFB | 75.5 % | | 75-12 | 20 | | " | " | " | " | |
| Surrogate: 1,2-DCA-d4 | 101 % | | 77-12 | 29 | | " | " | " | " | |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 100 % | | 80-12 | 21 | | " | " | " | " | |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 99.0 % | | 80-12 | 20 | | " | " | " | " | |

North Creek Analytical - Portland

Many a. For Sing



Project: Astoria Area-Wide Petroleum Site RI-1 Project Number: 10077.005 Project Manager: Tom Calabrese

Reported: 12/12/03 15:46

Selected Volatile Organic Compounds per EPA Method 8260B North Creek Analytical - Portland

| Analyte | Result | MDL | Reporting Limit | Units | Dilution | Batch | Prepared | Analyzed | Method | Notes |
|---------------------------------|-------------|--------------|--------------------|------------|----------|---------|----------|----------|-----------|-------|
| Trip Blank (P3K0784-03) Water | Sampled: 11 | /25/03 09:00 | Received | l: 11/26/0 | 3 08:47 | | | | | |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane | ND | 0.187 | 0.500 | ug/l | 1 | 3120073 | 12/02/03 | 12/02/03 | EPA 8260B | U |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | ND | 0.142 | 0.500 | " | " | " | | | | U |
| Benzene | ND | 0.147 | 0.500 | " | " | " | " | " | " | U |
| Toluene | ND | 0.155 | 0.500 | " | " | " | " | " | " | U |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.110 | 0.500 | " | " | | " | " | " | U |
| Xylenes (total) | ND | 0.262 | 1.00 | " | " | | " | " | " | U |
| Methyl tert-butyl ether | ND | 0.0865 | 2.00 | " | " | " | | | | U |
| Naphthalene | ND | 0.0989 | 2.00 | " | " | " | " | " | " | U |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | ND | 0.0884 | 1.00 | " | " | | " | " | " | U |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | ND | 0.157 | 0.500 | " | " | | | | | U |
| Isopropylbenzene | ND | 0.107 | 2.00 | " | " | | | | | U |
| n-Propylbenzene | ND | 0.138 | 0.500 | " | | " | | | | U |
| Surrogate: 4-BFB | 82.5 % | | 75-12 | 20 | | " | " | " | " | |
| Surrogate: 1,2-DCA-d4 | 100 % | | 77-12 | 29 | | " | " | " | " | |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 108 % | | 80-12 | 21 | | " | " | " | " | |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 106 % | | 80-12 | 20 | | " | " | " | " | |
| Trip Blank (P3K0784-04) Water | Sampled: 11 | /25/03 08:15 | Received | l: 11/26/0 | 3 08:47 | | | | | |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane | ND | 0.187 | 0.500 | ug/l | 1 | 3120073 | 12/02/03 | 12/02/03 | EPA 8260B | U |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | ND | 0.142 | 0.500 | " | " | | " | " | " | U |
| Benzene | ND | 0.147 | 0.500 | " | " | " | | | | U |
| Toluene | ND | 0.155 | 0.500 | " | " | " | " | " | " | U |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.110 | 0.500 | " | " | | " | " | " | U |
| Xylenes (total) | ND | 0.262 | 1.00 | " | " | | " | " | " | U |
| Methyl tert-butyl ether | ND | 0.0865 | 2.00 | " | " | " | | | | U |
| Naphthalene | ND | 0.0989 | 2.00 | " | " | " | | | | U |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | ND | 0.0884 | 1.00 | " | " | | " | " | " | U |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | ND | 0.157 | 0.500 | " | " | | " | " | " | U |
| Isopropylbenzene | ND | 0.107 | 2.00 | " | " | | " | " | " | U |
| n-Propylbenzene | ND | 0.138 | 0.500 | " | " | " | " | " | " | U |
| Surrogate: 4-BFB | 81.0 % | | 75-12 | 20 | | " | " | " | " | |
| Surrogate: 1,2-DCA-d4 | 99.0 % | | 77-12 | 29 | | " | " | " | " | |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 105 % | | 80-12 | 21 | | " | " | " | " | |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 104 % | | 80-12 | 20 | | " | " | " | " | |

North Creek Analytical - Portland

Many a. For Sing



Project: Astoria Area-Wide Petroleum Site RI-1 Project Number: 10077.005 Project Manager: Tom Calabrese

Reported: 12/12/03 15:46

Polynuclear Aromatic Compounds per EPA 8270M-SIM North Creek Analytical - Portland

| Analyte | Result | MDL | Reporting Limit | Units | Dilution | Batch | Prepared | Analyzed | Method | Notes |
|---------------------------------|---------------|-------------|--------------------|------------|----------|---------|----------|----------|-----------|-------|
| Outfall #2 (P3K0784-01) Water | Sampled: 11/2 | 25/03 09:00 | Received | : 11/26/03 | 3 08:47 | | | | | |
| Acenaphthene | ND | 0.0500 | 0.0500 | ug/l | 1 | 3120019 | 12/01/03 | 12/04/03 | EPA 8270m | U |
| Acenaphthylene | ND | 0.0500 | 0.0500 | " | " | | | " | " | U |
| Anthracene | ND | 0.0500 | 0.0500 | " | " | " | " | " | " | U |
| Benzo (a) anthracene | ND | 0.0100 | 0.0100 | " | " | " | " | " | " | U |
| Benzo (a) pyrene | ND | 0.0100 | 0.0100 | " | " | " | " | " | " | U |
| Benzo (b) fluoranthene | ND | 0.0100 | 0.0100 | " | " | " | " | " | " | U |
| Benzo (ghi) perylene | ND | 0.0500 | 0.0500 | " | " | | | " | " | U |
| Benzo (k) fluoranthene | ND | 0.0100 | 0.0100 | " | " | " | " | " | " | U |
| Chrysene | ND | 0.0100 | 0.0100 | " | " | " | " | " | " | U |
| Dibenzo (a,h) anthracene | ND | 0.0100 | 0.0100 | " | " | | | " | " | U |
| Fluoranthene | ND | 0.0500 | 0.0500 | " | " | | " | " | " | U |
| Fluorene | ND | 0.0500 | 0.0500 | " | " | | " | " | " | U |
| Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene | ND | 0.0100 | 0.0100 | " | " | " | " | " | " | U |
| Naphthalene | ND | 0.0500 | 0.0500 | " | " | | | " | " | U |
| Phenanthrene | ND | 0.0500 | 0.0500 | " | " | | " | " | " | U |
| Pyrene | ND | 0.0500 | 0.0500 | " | " | " | | " | " | U |
| Surrogate: Fluorene-d10 | 55.9 % | | 25-13 | 50 | | " | " | " | " | |
| Surrogate: Pyrene-d10 | 70.8 % | | 23-15 | 50 | | " | " | " | " | |
| Surrogate: Benzo (a) pyrene-d12 | 66.9 % | | 10-13 | 50 | | " | " | " | " | |
| Outfall #6 (P3K0784-02) Water | Sampled: 11/2 | 25/03 08:15 | Received | : 11/26/03 | 3 08:47 | | | | | |
| Acenaphthene | ND | 0.0500 | 0.0500 | ug/l | 1 | 3120019 | 12/01/03 | 12/04/03 | EPA 8270m | U |
| Acenaphthylene | ND | 0.0500 | 0.0500 | " | " | | " | " | " | U |
| Anthracene | ND | 0.0500 | 0.0500 | " | " | " | " | " | " | U |
| Benzo (a) anthracene | ND | 0.0100 | 0.0100 | " | " | " | " | " | " | U |
| Benzo (a) pyrene | ND | 0.0100 | 0.0100 | " | " | " | " | " | " | U |
| Benzo (b) fluoranthene | ND | 0.0100 | 0.0100 | " | " | | | " | " | U |
| Benzo (ghi) perylene | ND | 0.0500 | 0.0500 | " | " | | " | " | " | U |
| Benzo (k) fluoranthene | ND | 0.0100 | 0.0100 | " | " | " | " | " | " | U |
| Chrysene | ND | 0.0100 | 0.0100 | " | " | " | | " | | U |
| Dibenzo (a,h) anthracene | ND | 0.0100 | 0.0100 | " | " | " | | " | | U |
| Fluoranthene | ND | 0.0500 | 0.0500 | " | " | | | " | " | U |
| Fluorene | ND | 0.0500 | 0.0500 | " | " | | | " | " | U |
| Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene | ND | 0.0100 | 0.0100 | | " | " | " | " | " | U |

North Creek Analytical - Portland

Many a. For Sing



Project: Astoria Area-Wide Petroleum Site RI-1 Project Number: 10077.005 Project Manager: Tom Calabrese

Reported: 12/12/03 15:46

Polynuclear Aromatic Compounds per EPA 8270M-SIM North Creek Analytical - Portland

| Analyte | Result | MDL | Reporting Limit | Units | Dilution | Batch | Prepared | Analyzed | Method | Notes |
|---------------------------------|---------------|-------------|--------------------|---------|----------|---------|----------|----------|-----------|-------|
| Outfall #6 (P3K0784-02) Water | Sampled: 11/2 | 25/03 08:15 | Received: | 11/26/0 | 3 08:47 | | | | | |
| Naphthalene | ND | 0.0500 | 0.0500 | ug/l | 1 | 3120019 | 12/01/03 | 12/04/03 | EPA 8270m | U |
| Phenanthrene | ND | 0.0500 | 0.0500 | | " | | " | " | " | U |
| Pyrene | ND | 0.0500 | 0.0500 | " | " | " | " | " | " | U |
| Surrogate: Fluorene-d10 | 54.7 % | | 25-15 | 50 | | " | " | " | " | |
| Surrogate: Pyrene-d10 | 65.3 % | | 23-15 | 50 | | " | " | " | " | |
| Surrogate: Benzo (a) pyrene-d12 | 59.7 % | | 10-15 | 50 | | " | " | " | " | |

North Creek Analytical - Portland

Mary a. For Singe



Project: Astoria Area-Wide Petroleum Site RI-1 Project Number: 10077.005 Project Manager: Tom Calabrese

Reported: 12/12/03 15:46

Conventional Chemistry Parameters per APHA/EPA Methods North Creek Analytical - Portland

| Analyte | Result | MDL | Reporting Limit | Units | Dilution | Batch | Prepared | Analyzed | Method | Notes |
|-------------------------------|----------------|------------|--------------------|-------------|----------|---------|----------|----------|------------|-------|
| Outfall #2 (P3K0784-01) Water | Sampled: 11/25 | 5/03 09:00 | Received | l: 11/26/03 | 08:47 | | | | | |
| рН | 6.18 | | | pH Units | 1 | 3110896 | 11/26/03 | 11/26/03 | 150.1/9040 | I-02 |
| Total Suspended Solids | 3.00 | 1.68 | 10.0 | mg/l | | 3120091 | 12/02/03 | 12/02/03 | EPA 160.2 | J |
| Outfall #6 (P3K0784-02) Water | Sampled: 11/25 | 5/03 08:15 | Received | l: 11/26/03 | 08:47 | | | | | |
| рН | 6.74 | | | pH Units | 1 | 3110896 | 11/26/03 | 11/26/03 | 150.1/9040 | I-02 |
| Total Suspended Solids | 51.0 | 1.68 | 10.0 | mg/l | " | 3120091 | 12/02/03 | 12/02/03 | EPA 160.2 | |

North Creek Analytical - Portland

Mary a. For Singe



Project: Astoria Area-Wide Petroleum Site RI-1 Project Number: 10077.005 Project Manager: Tom Calabrese

Reported: 12/12/03 15:46

Oil and Grease Analysis per EPA Method 1664 - Quality Control North Creek Analytical - Portland

| Analyte | Result | MDL | Reporting Limit | Units | Spike Level | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limits | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|--------------------------|------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------|----------------|------------------|------|----------------|-----|--------------|-------|
| Batch 3120115: Prep | ared 12/03/03 Us | sing EPA 16 | 64 | | | | | | | | |
| Blank (3120115-BLK1) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Oil & Grease | ND | 3.24 | 5.00 | mg/l | | | | | | | U |
| Oil & Grease (non-polar) | ND | 1.14 | 5.00 | " | | | | | | | U |
| Oil & Grease (polar) | 0.00 | | 5.00 | " | | | | | | | |
| LCS (3120115-BS1) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Oil & Grease | 76.9 | 3.24 | 5.00 | mg/l | 80.0 | | 96.1 | 73-109 | | | |
| Oil & Grease (non-polar) | 29.5 | 1.14 | 5.00 | " | 40.0 | | 73.8 | 57-113 | | | |

North Creek Analytical - Portland

Mary a. For Singe



Project: Astoria Area-Wide Petroleum Site RI-1 Project Number: 10077.005 Project Manager: Tom Calabrese

Reported: 12/12/03 15:46

Total Metals per EPA 200 Series Methods - Quality Control

North Creek Analytical - Portland

| | | | Reporting | T T 1 | Spike | Source | N DEC | %REC | DDD | RPD | N |
|----------------------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|---------------------|-------|-----------|---------|--------|-------|-------|-------|
| Analyte | Result | MDL | Limit | Units | Level | Result | %REC | Limits | КРD | Limit | Notes |
| Batch 3120352: Prepared | 12/09/03 Us | sing EPA 20 | 00/3005 | | | | | | | | |
| Blank (3120352-BLK1) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Copper | 0.000300 | 0.000259 | 0.00200 | mg/l | | | | | | | J |
| Lead | ND | 0.0000870 | 0.00100 | " | | | | | | | U |
| Zinc | ND | 0.00102 | 0.00500 | " | | | | | | | U |
| LCS (3120352-BS1) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Copper | 0.105 | 0.000259 | 0.00200 | mg/l | 0.100 | | 105 | 85-115 | | | |
| Lead | 0.112 | 0.0000870 | 0.00100 | " | 0.100 | | 112 | 85-115 | | | |
| Zinc | 0.0970 | 0.00102 | 0.00500 | " | 0.100 | | 97.0 | 85-115 | | | |
| Duplicate (3120352-DUP1) | | | | | | Source: P | 3K0785- | 42 | | | |
| Copper | 0.00442 | 0.000259 | 0.00200 | mg/l | | 0.00415 | | | 6.30 | 20 | |
| Lead | ND | 0.0000870 | 0.00100 | " | | ND | | | | 20 | U |
| Zinc | 0.00867 | 0.00102 | 0.00500 | " | | 0.00872 | | | 0.575 | 20 | |
| Matrix Spike (3120352-MS1) | | | | | | Source: P | 3K0785- | 42 | | | |
| Copper | 0.108 | 0.000259 | 0.00200 | mg/l | 0.100 | 0.00415 | 104 | 70-130 | | | |
| Lead | 0.105 | 0.0000870 | 0.00100 | " | 0.100 | ND | 105 | 70-130 | | | |
| Zinc | 0.108 | 0.00102 | 0.00500 | " | 0.100 | 0.00872 | 99.3 | 70-130 | | | |
| Matrix Spike (3120352-MS2) | | | | | | Source: P | 3K0785- | 43 | | | |
| Copper | 0.115 | 0.000259 | 0.00200 | mg/l | 0.100 | 0.0184 | 96.6 | 70-130 | | | |
| Lead | 0.103 | 0.0000870 | 0.00100 | " | 0.100 | 0.00186 | 101 | 70-130 | | | |
| Zinc | 0.156 | 0.00102 | 0.00500 | " | 0.100 | 0.0626 | 93.4 | 70-130 | | | |

North Creek Analytical - Portland

Mary a. For Singe



Project: Astoria Area-Wide Petroleum Site RI-1 Project Number: 10077.005 Project Manager: Tom Calabrese

Reported: 12/12/03 15:46

Selected Volatile Organic Compounds per EPA Method 8260B - Quality Control

North Creek Analytical - Portland

| Analyte | Re | esult | MDL | Reporting Limit | Units | Spike Level | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limits | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|----------------------------|--------------|-------|-----------|--------------------|-------|----------------|------------------|------|----------------|-----|--------------|-------|
| Batch 3120073: Prepa | red 12/02/03 | Usi | ng EPA 50 | 30B | | | | | | | | |
| Blank (3120073-BLK1) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane | | ND | 0.187 | 0.500 | ug/l | | | | | | | U |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | | ND | 0.142 | 0.500 | " | | | | | | | U |
| Benzene | | ND | 0.147 | 0.500 | " | | | | | | | U |
| Toluene | | ND | 0.155 | 0.500 | " | | | | | | | U |
| Ethylbenzene | | ND | 0.110 | 0.500 | " | | | | | | | U |
| Xylenes (total) | | ND | 0.262 | 1.00 | " | | | | | | | U |
| Methyl tert-butyl ether | | ND | 0.0865 | 2.00 | " | | | | | | | U |
| Naphthalene | | ND | 0.0989 | 2.00 | " | | | | | | | U |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | | ND | 0.0884 | 1.00 | " | | | | | | | U |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | | ND | 0.157 | 0.500 | " | | | | | | | U |
| Isopropylbenzene | | ND | 0.107 | 2.00 | " | | | | | | | U |
| n-Propylbenzene | | ND | 0.138 | 0.500 | " | | | | | | | U |
| Surrogate: 4-BFB | i | 17.0 | | | " | 20.0 | | 85.0 | 75-120 | | | |
| Surrogate: 1,2-DCA-d4 | Ĺ | 19.5 | | | " | 20.0 | | 97.5 | 77-129 | | | |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluorome | thane 2 | 20.7 | | | " | 20.0 | | 104 | 80-121 | | | |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 2 | 20.9 | | | " | 20.0 | | 104 | 80-120 | | | |
| LCS (3120073-BS1) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Benzene | 2 | 21.6 | 0.147 | 0.500 | ug/l | 20.0 | | 108 | 80-120 | | | |
| Toluene | 2 | 21.0 | 0.155 | 0.500 | " | 20.0 | | 105 | 80-124 | | | |
| Ethylbenzene | 1 | 19.7 | 0.110 | 0.500 | " | 20.0 | | 98.5 | 80-120 | | | |
| Xylenes (total) | 4 | 55.2 | 0.262 | 1.00 | " | 60.0 | | 92.0 | 73-124 | | | |
| Methyl tert-butyl ether | 2 | 22.0 | 0.0865 | 2.00 | " | 20.0 | | 110 | 80-129 | | | |
| Naphthalene | 1 | 18.8 | 0.0989 | 2.00 | " | 20.0 | | 94.0 | 72-149 | | | |
| Surrogate: 4-BFB | | 19.8 | | | " | 20.0 | | 99.0 | 75-120 | | | |
| Surrogate: 1,2-DCA-d4 | 2 | 20.3 | | | " | 20.0 | | 102 | 77-129 | | | |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluorome | thane 2 | 21.3 | | | " | 20.0 | | 106 | 80-121 | | | |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 2 | 21.4 | | | " | 20.0 | | 107 | 80-120 | | | |

North Creek Analytical - Portland

Mary a. For Sing



Project: Astoria Area-Wide Petroleum Site RI-1 Project Number: 10077.005 Project Manager: Tom Calabrese

Reported: 12/12/03 15:46

Selected Volatile Organic Compounds per EPA Method 8260B - Quality Control

North Creek Analytical - Portland

| | | | Reporting | | Spike | Source | | %REC | | RPD | |
|---|----------|----------|-----------|-------|-------|-----------|---------|----------|------|-------|-------|
| Analyte | Result | MDL | Limit | Units | Level | Result | %REC | Limits | RPD | Limit | Notes |
| Batch 3120073: Prepared 12/02 | /03 Usin | g EPA 50 | 30B | | | | | | | | |
| Matrix Spike (3120073-MS1) Source: P3K0784-01 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Benzene | 20.8 | 0.147 | 0.500 | ug/l | 20.0 | ND | 104 | 80-124 | | | |
| Toluene | 18.9 | 0.155 | 0.500 | " | 20.0 | ND | 94.5 | 79.7-131 | | | |
| Ethylbenzene | 18.3 | 0.110 | 0.500 | " | 20.0 | ND | 91.5 | 80-124 | | | |
| Xylenes (total) | 42.8 | 0.262 | 1.00 | " | 60.0 | ND | 71.3 | 44.6-154 | | | |
| Methyl tert-butyl ether | 21.3 | 0.0865 | 2.00 | " | 20.0 | ND | 106 | 80-130 | | | |
| Naphthalene | 15.2 | 0.0989 | 2.00 | " | 20.0 | ND | 76.0 | 69-163 | | | |
| Surrogate: 4-BFB | 19.2 | | | " | 20.0 | | 96.0 | 75-120 | | | |
| Surrogate: 1,2-DCA-d4 | 19.2 | | | " | 20.0 | | 96.0 | 77-129 | | | |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 21.4 | | | " | 20.0 | | 107 | 80-121 | | | |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 20.8 | | | " | 20.0 | | 104 | 80-120 | | | |
| Matrix Spike Dup (3120073-MSD1) | | | | | | Source: P | 3K0784- | 01 | | | |
| Benzene | 21.4 | 0.147 | 0.500 | ug/l | 20.0 | ND | 107 | 80-124 | 2.84 | 25 | |
| Toluene | 19.2 | 0.155 | 0.500 | " | 20.0 | ND | 96.0 | 79.7-131 | 1.57 | 25 | |
| Ethylbenzene | 18.3 | 0.110 | 0.500 | " | 20.0 | ND | 91.5 | 80-124 | 0.00 | 25 | |
| Xylenes (total) | 53.0 | 0.262 | 1.00 | " | 60.0 | ND | 88.3 | 44.6-154 | 21.3 | 25 | |
| Methyl tert-butyl ether | 21.6 | 0.0865 | 2.00 | " | 20.0 | ND | 108 | 80-130 | 1.40 | 25 | |
| Naphthalene | 16.0 | 0.0989 | 2.00 | " | 20.0 | ND | 80.0 | 69-163 | 5.13 | 25 | |
| Surrogate: 4-BFB | 18.8 | | | " | 20.0 | | 94.0 | 75-120 | | | |
| Surrogate: 1,2-DCA-d4 | 20.1 | | | " | 20.0 | | 100 | 77-129 | | | |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 21.6 | | | " | 20.0 | | 108 | 80-121 | | | |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 20.5 | | | " | 20.0 | | 102 | 80-120 | | | |

North Creek Analytical - Portland

Mary a. For Sing



Project: Astoria Area-Wide Petroleum Site RI-1 Project Number: 10077.005 Project Manager: Tom Calabrese

Reported: 12/12/03 15:46

Polynuclear Aromatic Compounds per EPA 8270M-SIM - Quality Control

North Creek Analytical - Portland

| Analyte | Re | esult | MDL | Reporting Limit | Units | Spike Level | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limits | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|------------------------|-------------------|-------|----------|--------------------|-------|----------------|------------------|------|----------------|-----|--------------|-------|
| Batch 3120019: | Prepared 12/01/03 | Usin | g EPA 35 | 520/600 Sei | ries | | | | | | | |
| Blank (3120019-BLI | K1) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Acenaphthene | | ND | 0.0500 | 0.0500 | ug/l | | | | | | | U |
| Acenaphthylene | | ND | 0.0500 | 0.0500 | | | | | | | | U |
| Anthracene | | ND | 0.0500 | 0.0500 | | | | | | | | U |
| Benzo (a) anthracene | | ND | 0.0100 | 0.0100 | | | | | | | | U |
| Benzo (a) pyrene | | ND | 0.0100 | 0.0100 | | | | | | | | U |
| Benzo (b) fluoranthene | e | ND | 0.0100 | 0.0100 | | | | | | | | U |
| Benzo (ghi) perylene | | ND | 0.0500 | 0.0500 | | | | | | | | U |
| Benzo (k) fluoranthen | e | ND | 0.0100 | 0.0100 | " | | | | | | | U |
| Chrysene | | ND | 0.0100 | 0.0100 | | | | | | | | U |
| Dibenzo (a,h) anthrace | ene | ND | 0.0100 | 0.0100 | | | | | | | | U |
| Fluoranthene | | ND | 0.0500 | 0.0500 | | | | | | | | U |
| Fluorene | | ND | 0.0500 | 0.0500 | | | | | | | | U |
| Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyre | ene | ND | 0.0100 | 0.0100 | " | | | | | | | U |
| Naphthalene | | ND | 0.0500 | 0.0500 | | | | | | | | U |
| Phenanthrene | | ND | 0.0500 | 0.0500 | " | | | | | | | U |
| Pyrene | | ND | 0.0500 | 0.0500 | " | | | | | | | U |
| Surrogate: Fluorene-a | 110 | 1.52 | | | " | 2.50 | | 60.8 | 25-150 | | | |
| Surrogate: Pyrene-d10 |) . | 1.81 | | | " | 2.50 | | 72.4 | 23-150 | | | |
| Surrogate: Benzo (a) p | pyrene-d12 | 1.90 | | | " | 2.50 | | 76.0 | 10-150 | | | |
| LCS (3120019-BS1) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Acenaphthene | | 1.79 | 0.0500 | 0.0500 | ug/l | 2.50 | | 71.6 | 26-150 | | | |
| Benzo (a) pyrene | , | 2.03 | 0.0100 | 0.0100 | | 2.50 | | 81.2 | 38-150 | | | |
| Pyrene | | 1.90 | 0.0500 | 0.0500 | " | 2.50 | | 76.0 | 33-150 | | | |
| Surrogate: Fluorene-a | | 1.50 | | | " | 2.50 | | 60.0 | 25-150 | | | |
| Surrogate: Pyrene-d10 |) . | 1.95 | | | " | 2.50 | | 78.0 | 23-150 | | | |
| Surrogate: Benzo (a) p | pyrene-d12 | 2.05 | | | " | 2.50 | | 82.0 | 10-150 | | | |

North Creek Analytical - Portland

Mary a. For Sing



| EnviroLogic Resources, Inc. |
|-----------------------------|
| P.O. Box 80762 |
| Portland OR, 97280-0762 |

Project: Astoria Area-Wide Petroleum Site RI-1 Project Number: 10077.005 Project Manager: Tom Calabrese

Reported: 12/12/03 15:46

Polynuclear Aromatic Compounds per EPA 8270M-SIM - Quality Control

North Creek Analytical - Portland

| Analyte | Result | MDL | Reporting Limit | Units | Spike Level | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limits | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|---------------------------------|------------|------------|--------------------|-------|----------------|------------------|------|----------------|------|--------------|-------|
| Batch 3120019: Prepared 12/ | 01/03 Usir | ng EPA 352 | 20/600 Sei | ries | | | | | | | |
| LCS Dup (3120019-BSD1) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Acenaphthene | 1.92 | 0.0500 | 0.0500 | ug/l | 2.50 | | 76.8 | 26-150 | 7.01 | 60 | |
| Benzo (a) pyrene | 2.06 | 0.0100 | 0.0100 | " | 2.50 | | 82.4 | 38-150 | 1.47 | 60 | |
| Pyrene | 1.95 | 0.0500 | 0.0500 | " | 2.50 | | 78.0 | 33-150 | 2.60 | 60 | |
| Surrogate: Fluorene-d10 | 1.57 | | | " | 2.50 | | 62.8 | 25-150 | | | |
| Surrogate: Pyrene-d10 | 1.94 | | | " | 2.50 | | 77.6 | 23-150 | | | |
| Surrogate: Benzo (a) pyrene-d12 | 2.03 | | | " | 2.50 | | 81.2 | 10-150 | | | |

North Creek Analytical - Portland

Mary a. For Since



Project: Astoria Area-Wide Petroleum Site RI-1 Project Number: 10077.005 Project Manager: Tom Calabrese

Reported: 12/12/03 15:46

Conventional Chemistry Parameters per APHA/EPA Methods - Quality Control

North Creek Analytical - Portland

| | | | Re | porting | | Spike | Source | | %REC | | RPD | |
|----------------------|------------------|---------|------------|---------|----------|-------|-----------|---------|--------|------|-------|-------|
| Analyte | | Result | MDL | Limit | Units | Level | Result | %REC | Limits | RPD | Limit | Notes |
| Batch 3110896: | Prepared 11/26/0 | 3 Using | g Wet Chem | | | | | | | | | |
| Duplicate (311089 | 6-DUP1) | | | | | | Source: P | 3K0783- | 01 | | | |
| pH | | 7.90 | | 1 | pH Units | | 7.78 | | | 1.53 | 25 | |
| Batch 3120091: | Prepared 12/02/0 | 3 Using | g Wet Chem | | | | | | | | | |
| Blank (3120091-Bl | L K1) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Suspended Soli | ds | ND | 1.68 | 10.0 | mg/l | | | | | | | U |
| LCS (3120091-BS | 1) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Suspended Soli | ds | 50.0 | 1.68 | 10.0 | mg/l | 50.0 | | 100 | 80-120 | | | |
| Duplicate (312009) | 1-DUP1) | | | | | | Source: P | 3K0745- | 01 | | | |
| Total Suspended Soli | ds | 58.0 | 1.68 | 10.0 | mg/l | | 58.0 | | | 0.00 | 20 | |
| Duplicate (312009) | 1-DUP2) | | | | | | Source: P | 3K0784- | 02 | | | |
| Total Suspended Soli | ds | 51.0 | 1.68 | 10.0 | mg/l | | 51.0 | | | 0.00 | 20 | |

North Creek Analytical - Portland

Many a. For Sing



| EnviroLogic Resources, Inc. | Project: | Astoria Area-Wide Petroleum Site RI-1 | |
|-----------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|
| P.O. Box 80762 | Project Number: | 10077.005 | Reported: |
| Portland OR, 97280-0762 | Project Manager: | Tom Calabrese | 12/12/03 15:46 |

Notes and Definitions

- F-02 Since Total Oil & Grease was ND for sample, Non-polar hydrocarbon analysis was not performed.
- I-02 This sample was analyzed outside of the EPA recommended holding time.
- J Estimated value.
- U Analyte included in the analysis but not detected.
- DET Analyte DETECTED
- ND Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit
- NR Not Reported
- dry Sample results reported on a dry weight basis
- RPD Relative Percent Difference

North Creek Analytical - Portland

Mary a. For Sing